

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125171
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Contribution Of Payment For Ecosystem Services In Addressing Climate Change Adaptation</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is relevant since the themes of Payments for Environmental Services and Climate Change are essential to life on the planet today.  A review of the subjects, correlating them, points to an environmentally correct, economically favorable and socially fair path, especially in Africa, the reference location for the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. One observation. In the sentence: "The concept of payments for ecosystem services (PES) has recently emerged as a promising tool for enhancing or safeguarding the provision of ecosystem services (ES)." the word "recently" could be replaced by the period of years in which the concept was created.  The word 'recently' has an open meaning, and could refer to the last 5 years, for example.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript achieved its objective, with bibliographical references appropriate to the knowledge of the related subjects.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	No. The bibliographical references could be more current, including experiences from other countries in other regions such as South America. Below is the DOI access of some suggestions for articles to improve the quality of the manuscript.  <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/w12102726">https://doi.org/10.3390/w12102726</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/w16131816">https://doi.org/10.3390/w16131816</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/w15040775">https://doi.org/10.3390/w15040775</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/w12092441">https://doi.org/10.3390/w12092441</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/w12102726">https://doi.org/10.3390/w12102726</a>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is relevant. It presents good construction of concepts and information about the study site. The discussion is consistent with the review modality proposed for the manuscript.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marcelo dos Santos Ferreira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>State University of the North Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro, Brazil</b>