

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124969
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Solutions: The Impact of Agroforestry on Climate Change Resilience- A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The title is interesting and timely. But it is not well written and suffers from several shortcomings which will need to be addressed. The title "Green Solutions: The Impact of Agroforestry on Climate Change Resilience" is both interesting and relevant in today's environmental discourse. Overall, this title promises valuable insights into a pressing global issue. However, review doesn't effectively show the critical role agroforestry can play in enhancing resilience to climate change. The review is in general very shallow and seems like a lecture note.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title is very interesting but the overall presentation of the literature do not match with the title. the literature more of focuses on the advantages of agroforestry with out giving special emphasis for its climate change role</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract: Relatively well presented and clear. However, it failed to present the objective and implications of the review findings to the global audiences.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The paper generally struggles with the flow of ideas, making it difficult to follow the overall argument. Additionally, the structure and order of the subtitles are inappropriate, which further disrupts coherence. There are also numerous instances of redundant ideas appearing under different subtitles, leading to unnecessary repetition. Overall, these issues detract from the paper's clarity and effectiveness.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>=</p>	<p>Most of the ideas presented, including figures, lack proper citations, as they appear to be drawn from other works. It is essential to provide appropriate recognition for these sources. The paper also lacks recent references and exhibits inconsistencies in reference formatting. Furthermore, some citations mentioned in the text are absent from the reference list (Eg:-Dwivedi, 1986). I recommend utilizing a reference management tool, such as Mendeley or EndNote, to enhance the accuracy and consistency of the citations.</p> <p>For Example :: Barik, T., Gulati, J. M. L., Garnayak, L. M., & Bastia, D. K. (2010). PRODUCTION OF VERMICOMPOST FROM AGRICULTURAL WASTES-A REVIEW. <i>Agricultural Reviews</i>, 31(3). Garrity, D., Akinnifesi, F., Ajayi, O., Weldesemayat, S., Mowo, J., Kalinganire, A., ... & Matakala, P. (2010). Evergreen agriculture: a robust approach to sustainable food security in Africa. <i>Food Security</i>, 2(3), 197-214. Gupta, J. P., Rao, G. G. S. N., Gupta, G. N., & Rao, B. R. (1983). Soil drying and wind erosion as affected by different types of shelterbelts planted in the desert region of Western Rajasthan, India. <i>Journal of Arid Environments</i>, 6(1), 53-58.</p>	<p>Comment [FG1]:</p> <p>Comment [FG2]: ?</p>

Review Form 3

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The paper requires grammatical revisions throughout. But it is not a big problem	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fikirte Asrat Gelaw
Department, University & Country	College of Natural Resources, Debre Markos University, Ethiopia