

Status of hemato-biochemical parameters in feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) affected cats

Abstract

Feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) is a serious viral disease of cats that usually results in death. There is derangement of vitals in cats due to FIP including clinical and hemato-biochemical parameters. This study reports same findings in a group of six cats (3 Persian, 2 mixed breed, 1 nondescript) affected with FIP. Cats were diagnosed on clinical signs, FIP rapid test, Rivalta test, USG and X-ray. Ground glass appearance of abdomen on X-ray, hyperechogenicity of liver and loss of renal/cortical texture on ultrasonography (USG) was noted. Among the liver function tests, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were increased to 76.2400 ± 4.35373 and 58.6333 ± 13.75860 IU/L, respectively. Kidney function tests including bilirubin, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine were raised 3.533 ± 0.4601 , 62.5000 ± 2.45967 and 2.8833 ± 0.48402 mg/dl, respectively. Hematological values including haemoglobin (Hb) (10.4500 ± 0.76714), red blood cell count (RBC) (7.4400 ± 0.78636), packed cell volume (PCV) (26.7667 ± 3.59042), white blood cell count (WBC) ($18.2850 \pm 2.72594 \times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$), granulocytes ($15.3167 \pm 2.84762\%$), lymphocytes ($1.7000 \pm 0.34351\%$) and platelets (310.8333 ± 75.50074) showed derangement. This study establishes baseline data for FIP cases in this region and can serve as a basis of prognosis of FIP based on clinical and hematobiochemical parameters.

Key words: Feline infectious peritonitis, viral infectious disease, virus

Introduction

Feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) is one of the most important viral infectious disease of cats caused by feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV) strains of feline coronavirus (FCoV) (Paltrinieri et al., 2021; Yousuf et al., 2022). FIP was reported in 1963 by Holzworth at the Angell Memorial Animal Hospital in Boston, USA (Holzworth 1963). It is present globally and has a variable prevalence ranging from as low to 0.35% to 2% or as high as 22% which depends on numerous risk factors (Hu et al., 2024; Pesteanu-Somogyi et al., 2006). Around 75% cases are in age group of below 3 years with males at higher risk (Hu et al., 2024; Pesteanu-Somogyi et al., 2006). It is a severe immune mediated disease characterised by effusion and pyogranulomatous inflammation (Ziółkowska et al., 2017). It causes inflammatory cascade and affects multiple organs including liver, kidney, heart, brain and involves structures or body cavities also like

peritoneum (Malbon et al., 2019) thus resulting in wet or effusive form and dry or non-effusive form of disease (Ziółkowska et al., 2017). Complement mediated vasculitis results in effusive form whereas cell mediated granulomatous reaction leads to dry form of disease (Pedersen 1995, McReynolds and Macy 1997, Hartmann 2005). Clinical signs range from simple fever, anorexia, depression, dullness, weakness, loss of body condition, abdominal distension, to complex ocular deformities and neurological abnormalities (Yousuf et al., 2022; Tasker et al., 2023). Diagnosis is based on clinical signs, effusion analysis, haemato-biochemical, serological, molecular and histological tests (Felten et al., 2019). Though there is evidence of FIP in cats in this part of the world however there is lack of study on diagnostic and hemato-biochemical aspects of FIP in cats.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted at Veterinary Clinical Complex Faculty of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry Shuhama. A total of six cats (3 Persian, 2 mix breed, 1 nondescript), in the age group of 1-3 years, were diagnosed based on clinical signs, FIP Rapid test kit, Rivalta test, abdominal USG and X-ray. Blood samples were collected in EDTA vials (0.2 ml) for hematology and clot activator vials (0.8 ml) for biochemical analysis after extracting serum. Hematological analysis was done by automatic veterinary hematology analyser (Nihon Kohden, Celltac alpha MEK-6550) and for biochemical analysis, liver function tests (ALT and AST) and kidney function tests (bilirubin, BUN and creatinine) were done by spectrophotometric assays using kits purchased from Meril India.

Results

Cats revealed clinical signs of FIP including abdominal distension (3) (Figure 1), lethargy, inappetance, intermittent fever, dullness, weakness (6), pale mucous membrane (2), jaundice/icterus (3) and ocular (2) signs. None had neurological signs. Peritoneal fluid from affected cats with abdominal distension (3) showed positive Rivalta test. Five out of six suspected cats tested positive on rapid FIP test. Ground glass appearance of abdomen on X-ray in cats having abdominal distension and hyperechogenicity of liver and loss of renal/cortical texture on USG was noted.

Hematological values including Hb (10.4500 ± 0.76714), RBC (7.4400 ± 0.78636), PCV (26.7667 ± 3.59042), WBC ($18.2850 \pm 2.72594 \times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$), granulocytes ($15.3167 \pm 2.84762\%$), lymphocytes ($1.7000 \pm 0.34351\%$) and platelets (310.8333 ± 75.50074) showed derangement.

Among the liver function tests, ALT and AST were 76.2400 ± 4.35373 and 58.6333 ± 13.75860 IU/L, respectively. Kidney function tests including bilirubin (3.533 ± 0.4601), BUN and creatinine were 62.5000 ± 2.45967 and 2.8833 ± 0.48402 mg/dl, respectively.

Discussion

FIP is a multisystemic disease caused by feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV) strains of feline coronavirus (FCoV) (Paltrinieri et al., 2021; Yousuf et al., 2022). It affects various organs or structures thus producing a conglomerate of clinical signs (Hartmann 2005; Yousuf et al., 2022). The clinical signs of fever, fluid exudation and distension, loss of body condition, dullness and weakness noted in our findings may be due to inflammatory reactions or perivascular phlebitis induced by FIPV that can affect any organ. These signs are in corroboration with Malbon et al. (2019) and Tasker et al. (2023).

Diagnosis by Rivalta test and rapid FIP test is routine in clinical practice. High sensitivity has been detected with Rivalta's test which is usually performed for the effusive fluid during the clinical diagnosis of wet FIP cases (Felten and Hartman 2019). It has a sensitivity of 91.3% and specificity of 65.5% (Fischer et al., 2012). We also noted 100% positive test rate in affected cats with abdominal distension. FIP Rapid tests are frequently used in diagnosing affected cats (Felten and Hartmann 2019; Vojtkovská et al., 2022). They detect antigen or antibody in samples and help in rapid diagnosis besides being easy and cheap. They have good sensitivity and specificity. We have noted a positivity of 83.33% (5/6). Vojtkovská et al. (2022) have also evaluated various rapid tests for FIP and found a sensitivity of 90 to 95% and specificity of 95% versus PCR test.

In FIP affected cats haematological and biochemical disturbances occur due to effects of virus and inflammatory reactions on various organs and systems. Haematological values RBC, Hb and PCV were lower and WBC, TLC and N were higher in affected cats indicating anaemia and inflammatory response to infection. Yin et al. (2021) have also conducted haematological tests in their study which showed similar values in FIP affected cats. Our findings of lower RBC, higher WBC, N and lower L in FIP cats are in corroboration with Paltrinieri et al. (2002). Anaemia, leucocytosis, neutophilia and lymphopenia are routine findings in FIP affected cats which is also reported by Anwer et al. (2022) and Tsai et al. (2011). Anaemia may be due to adverse effect on hematopoietic system, nutritional deficiency due to inappetance or anorexia, or damage to RBCs. Leucocytosis may be due to inflammatory response to viral or secondary bacterial infection.

Elevated LFT and KFT parameters may be due to liver and kidney damage. This is in agreement with findings of Anwer et al. (2022) and Tsai et al. (2011) who have also reported elevated AST, ALT, bilirubin, creatinine and BUN in FIP cats. Sase (2023) has reported elevated AST in FIP cats. FIPV damages hepatic and renal parenchymal texture as is also evidenced on USG. Inflammatory exudation or perivascular phlebitis leads to fluid accumulation in abdominal cavity giving ground glass appearance on X-ray. This has also been found by Anwer et al. (2022) and Zoia et al. (2009).

Conclusion

This study revealed derangement of clinical, hematological and biochemical parameters in FIP affected cats. Clinical signs can be correlated to effects of inflammatory cascade resulting in fever, dullness, inappetance, lethargy, abdominal distension or recumbency and further progressing to multiorgan damage. Clinical signs, Rivalta test and rapid antigen tests can be helpful in diagnosing FIP. These are easy and cheap but not confirmatory however for confirmation histopathology, RT-PCR or more relevant tests are needed. Their cost and involvement of sophisticated infrastructure make them inconvenient. Diagnostic imaging can be a supportive diagnostic option wherever available.

FIPV affects various organs and organ systems. Decreased values of hemtological values may be due to anaemia resulting from liver damage and nutrient deficiency due to less feed intake. Elevated WBC may indicate response to inflammatory reaction or secondary bacterial infection. Increased values of LFT and KFT indicate liver and kidney damage.

Thus this can be concluded from this study that the clinical, biochemical and haematological values can reflect a state of derangement of vital parameters or organ functions and can have diagnostic and prognostic role in FIP cases.

Table 1. Status of biochemical and haematological values (Mean±SE) in FIP affected cats.

Parameter	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean±SE	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Mean	Std. Error
ALT (U/L)	6	60.99	89.07	76.2400	4.35373
AST (U/L)	6	0.00	88.05	58.6333	13.75860
WBC ($10^3/\mu\text{l}$)	6	7.01	25.90	18.2850	2.72594
RBC ($10^6/\mu\text{l}$)	6	5.07	10.20	7.4400	0.78636
Hb (mg/dl)	6	7.30	12.30	10.4500	0.76714
PCV (%)	6	14.50	36.80	26.7667	3.59042
L ($10^3/\mu\text{l}$)	6	0.70	2.70	1.7000	0.34351

M ($10^3/\mu\text{l}$)	6	0.10	.40	0.2667	0.04216
E ($10^3/\mu\text{l}$)	6	0.30	1.50	1.0333	0.20602
G ($10^3/\mu\text{l}$)	6	3.90	23.50	15.3167	2.84762
PLT ($10^6/\mu\text{l}$)	6	0.83	5.4	3.108	0.755
BUN (mg/dl)	6	55.00	70.00	62.5000	2.45967
Creatinine (mg/dl)	6	1.90	5.00	2.8833	0.48402
Bilirubin (mg/dl)	6	2.5	5.6	3.533	0.46019



Fig.1. Abdominal distension in a FIP affected cat



Fig.2. Ground glass appearance in FIP affected cat

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

1. Anwer AZ, Mousa MR, Halium MA, Abouelela YS and Elsaid HM, 2022. Clinical and pathological studies on feline infectious peritonitis in Egypt. *International Journal of Veterinary Science* 11(2): 159- 167. <https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.ijvs/2021.099>
2. Felten S, Hartmann K. Diagnosis of Feline Infectious Peritonitis: A Review of the Current Literature. *Viruses*. 2019 Nov 15;11(11):1068. doi: 10.3390/v11111068.
3. Fischer Y, Sauter-Louis C, Hartmann K. Diagnostic accuracy of the Rivalta test for feline infectious peritonitis. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2012 Dec;41(4):558-67. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-165X.2012.00464.x. Epub 2012 Aug 22. PMID: 22913882; PMCID: PMC7169324.
4. Hartmann K. Feline infectious peritonitis. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2005 Jan;35(1):39-79, vi. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2004.10.011.
5. Holzworth, J.E. Some important disorders of cats. *Cornell Vet*. 1963, 53, 157–160.

6. Hu T, Zhang H, Zhang X, Hong X, Zhang T. Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Feline Infectious Peritonitis (FIP) in Mainland China between 2008 and 2023: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Animals*. 2024; 14(8):1220.
7. McReynolds C., Macy D.M. Feline infectious peritonitis. Part I. Etiology and diagnosis, *Compendium of Continuing Education for the Practicing Veterinarian* 19, 1997, 1007–1016.
8. Malbon AJ, Fonfara S, Meli ML, Hahn S, Egberink H, Kipar A. Feline Infectious Peritonitis as a Systemic Inflammatory Disease: Contribution of Liver and Heart to the Pathogenesis. *Viruses*. 2019 Dec 10;11(12):1144. doi: 10.3390/v11121144.
9. Paltrinieri S, Comazzi S, Spagnolo V, Giordano A. Laboratory changes consistent with feline infectious peritonitis in cats from multicat environments. *J Vet Med A Physiol Pathol Clin Med*. 2002 Dec;49(10):503-10. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0442.2002.00494.x.
10. Paltrinieri S, Giordano A, Stranieri A, Lauzi S. Feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) and coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19): Are they similar? *Transbound Emerg Dis*. 2021 Jul;68(4):1786-1799. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13856. Epub 2020 Oct 20.
11. Pedersen N. An overview of feline enteric coronavirus and infectious peritonitis virus infections, *Feline Practice* 23, 1995, 7–20.
12. Sase O. Molnupiravir treatment of 18 cats with feline infectious peritonitis: A case series. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2023 Sep-Oct;37(5):1876-1880. doi: 10.1111/jvim.16832. Epub 2023 Aug 8. PMID: 37551843; PMCID: PMC10472991.
13. Pesteanu-Somogyi LD, Radzai C, Pressler BM. Prevalence of feline infectious peritonitis in specific cat breeds. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2006 Feb;8(1):1-5.
14. Tasker S, Addie DD, Egberink H, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Hosie MJ, Truyen U, Belák S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Frymus T, Lloret A, Marsilio F, Pennisi MG, Thiry E, Möstl K, Hartmann K. Feline Infectious Peritonitis: European Advisory Board on Cat Diseases Guidelines. *Viruses*. 2023 Aug 31;15(9):1847. doi: 10.3390/v15091847.
15. Tsai HY, Chueh LL, Lin CN, Su BL. Clinicopathological findings and disease staging of feline infectious peritonitis: 51 cases from 2003 to 2009 in Taiwan. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2011 Feb;13(2):74-80. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2010.09.014.
16. Vojtkovská V, Lukešová G, Voslářová E, Konvalinová J, Večerek V, Lobová D. Direct Detection of Feline Coronavirus by Three Rapid Antigen Immunochromatographic Tests

and by Real-Time PCR in Cat Shelters. *Vet Sci.* 2022 Jan 18;9(2):35. doi: 10.3390/vetsci9020035.

17. Yin Y., Li T., Wang C., Liu X., Ouyang H., Ji W., Liu J., Liao X., Li J., Hu C. A retrospective study of clinical and laboratory features and treatment on cats highly suspected of feline infectious peritonitis in Wuhan, China. *Sci. Rep.* 2021;11:5208. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-84754-0.
18. Yousuf, J., Bhat, R. A., Dar, S. H., Shafi, A., Irshad, S., Yatoo, M. I., Parrah, J. U., Muhee, A., & Mir, A. Q. (2022). A review on the diagnosis of feline infectious peritonitis. *Applied Veterinary Research*, 1(1), 2022005. <https://doi.org/10.31893/avr.2022005>.
19. Ziółkowska N, Paździor-Czapula K, Lewczuk B, et al. Feline Infectious Peritonitis: Immunohistochemical Features of Ocular Inflammation and the Distribution of Viral Antigens in Structures of the Eye. *Veterinary Pathology.* 2017;54(6):933-944. doi:10.1177/0300985817728557.
20. Zoia A, Slater LA, Heller J, Connolly DJ, Church DB. A new approach to pleural effusion in cats: markers for distinguishing transudates from exudates. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2009 Oct;11(10):847-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2009.04.005.