

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_124993
Title of the Manuscript:	Status of hemato-biochemical parameters in feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) affected cats
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The paper of "Status of hemato-biochemical parameters in feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) affected cats" is novel, interesting and fit for the Journal of International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review, with suitable title. All subsections and structure of the manuscript were appropriate and scientifically correct; however, there is still some question need the authors to revise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -If you consider this study as descriptive study, the number of the samples is not enough while you considered as case study -in the abstract you mentioned the parameters index without showing the indications of decrease or increase -mention the full name first then abbreviations -Introduction is short, you should increase the introduction -references are not sufficient, author should increase the references 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English text requires revision in order to correct grammar/typesetting mistakes. All comments and corrections amended in the manuscript</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Hamid Alhadi Hamid
Department, University & Country	School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Juba, South Sudan