

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_124739
Title of the Manuscript:	Atypical Presentation of Pre-Excited Atrial Fibrillation in an Elderly Patient : A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Dear Editor, I have reviewed the paper entitled 'Atypical Presentation of Pre-Excited Atrial Fibrillation in an Elderly Patient : A Case Report'. My views related to this paper as follows: The authors presented a patient with preexcited AF possibly related to an accessory pathway located in the posterior mitral annulus. Although it is rarely seen in the elderly, the case does not have any originality. Additionally, the patient should have been told that the current situation may be associated with sudden death and that catheter ablation must be performed. The seriousness of the disease should have been mentioned and the patient should have been treated with catheter ablation therapy.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taner Ulus
Department, University & Country	Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Türkiye