

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_124083
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>"FROM TAMPONADE TO TRIUMPH: LA rupture leading to cardiac tamponade during BMV managed successfully with Device closure followed by successful BMV"</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript outlines an important and lifesaving alternative for the management of pericardial effusion resulting from LA rupture during BMV. Conventionally, chamber rupture during BMV is managed by emergent surgical repair but this is a unique case of percutaneous management of the LA rupture in the event surgical repair isn't plausible.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, please spell the abbreviations when using for the first time	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It outlines a rare approach for the management of cardiac chamber rupture during the Balloon mitral valve repair.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, no other suggestion warranted	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, there are a few grammatical errors. Please spell all the abbreviations when used for the first time. The spelling of CPR is incorrect at line 6 in page 3.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Annapoorna Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mary Greeley Medical Center, USA</b>