

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124790
Title of the Manuscript:	A HOLISTIC REVIEW ON IMPACT OF DEMONETIZATION ON ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SECTOR
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This work is a significant contribution to the scientific community since it offers a thorough and organized evaluation of the effects of demonetization on India's animal husbandry industry. It draws attention to the serious short- and long-term issues that farmers, especially those who depend on cash transactions, confront and provides insightful information about the significant impact that economic policies can have on rural livelihoods. The paper highlights the promise of digital payments to improve financial inclusion, but it also calls attention to the deficiencies in rural infrastructure and digital literacy. The study gains depth from the comprehensive analysis of policy responses and suggestions for additional research and government action, which makes it an invaluable tool for both scholars and policymakers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the article's title, A Holistic Review on Impact of Demonetization on Animal Husbandry Sector, is appropriate because it sums up the study's objectives and breadth. It highlights the review's thoroughness as well as the particular industry it is looking at. The term "holistic" draws attention to the thorough examination of the immediate and long-term effects on the animal husbandry industry, which is important information for academics and policymakers alike. Comprehensive Analysis of Demonetization's Effects on India's Animal Husbandry Industry. is another possible title. Still, the manuscript's original title sums up the content quite well.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, the article's abstract covers everything. It does a good job of summarizing the main ideas of the study, including its background, goals, methods, and conclusions. Since it gives a clear summary of the investigation, no significant changes are required. The abstract provides insightful information about the effects of demonetization on the animal husbandry industry and is organized properly.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Indeed, the manuscript's structure and subsections make sense. They are coherently arranged and support the paper's logical information flow.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>By following accepted research procedures and providing well-supported data, the work exhibits scientific accuracy. The analysis is comprehensive and employs suitable methodologies to reliably interpret the results. The findings reached are consistent with the data presented, demonstrating a thorough comprehension of the topic. Overall, the book adds significant new information to the subject and is technically and scientifically sound.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references adequately support the findings of the study and offer a decent mix of current and pertinent studies. At this point, no further references are required because the mentioned literature fully addresses the important findings of the study.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Indeed, the article's style and English quality are appropriate for scholarly communications. The language is easy to grasp for an academic audience since it is straightforward, succinct, and effectively communicates the research findings.	
Optional/General comments	The study topic is current and pertinent, and the text is organized properly. The data is provided in an understandable and well-organized manner, and the approach is sound. All things considered, the study adds much to the area, and with a few small changes, it will have even greater influence. Outstanding work by the writers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zubaria Parvez
Department, University & Country	Near East University, Cyprus