

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJASS_125105</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Parenting Styles as Predictors of Adolescents' Susceptibility to Peer Pressure in Secondary Schools in Anambra State</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript focuses on parenting style and the susceptibility of adolescents to peer pressure, which is a significant issue, as peer pressure can strongly influence adolescents to engage in risky behaviors. On the other hand, parenting style is one of the key elements in ensuring a healthy adolescence or leading adolescents toward risky behaviors. Overall, this seems to be an interesting manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is vague, and I am uncertain about this section: 'Regression statistics were used to test hypotheses 1-5, while Fisher's z-statistics were used to test hypothesis 6.' I recommend revising the abstract to focus more on the key findings and the conclusion.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The research questions and hypotheses are clear, and the authors use the appropriate statistical tests.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript can benefit from the references I mentioned in the review section.</p>	

### Review Form 3

<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p><b>Dear Editor,</b></p> <p>Thank you for considering me as a reviewer. The manuscript is delightful and focuses on the important issue of risky behaviors during the challenging age of adolescence and parenting styles. However, I have some comments that I advise the authors to consider. Additionally, I recommend major revisions for the manuscript.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <p>I recommend that the authors revise the introduction. Please check the paragraphing, as the paragraphs in the introduction should be structured like a chain, maintaining coherence throughout the text.</p> <p>There is no need to clarify the research question. Clarifying the hypothesis is sufficient.</p> <p>It is recommended to enhance the section on risky behaviors by utilizing valuable research in this area, such as:</p> <p>Factors associated with risk behaviors in adolescence: a systematic review Setting the policy agenda for the treatment of substance use disorders in Iran The concept of virginity from the perspective of Iranian adolescents: A qualitative study Agenda-setting in policies related to high-risk sexual behaviours, stimulants, and alcohol abuse in Iranian adolescents</p> <p><b>Method:</b></p> <p>Please clarify the sampling method, as it is not clearly explained. Which formula was used to determine the sample size? Please clarify the name of the questionnaire, as well as its validity and reliability.</p> <p><b>Results:</b></p> <p>There is no need to mention both the research questions and hypotheses in the findings. Please clarify the demographic characteristics of the sample population.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b></p> <p>There are valuable articles in the field of risky behaviors and the importance of parenting that could enrich the discussion section. I recommend incorporating these articles: The interactive roles of religion, parenting, and sex communication in adolescent sexual risk-taking Identifying the challenges of policy content related to high-risk sexual behaviors, stimulant drugs, and alcohol consumption in adolescents Determinants of risky sexual practice, drug abuse and alcohol consumption in adolescents in Iran: a</p>	

**Review Form 3**

	systematic literature review The First 1000 Days of Life and the Risk of Future Drug Consumption	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nadia Oroomiei</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bam University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>