

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_125105
Title of the Manuscript:	Parenting Styles as Predictors of Adolescents' Susceptibility to Peer Pressure in Secondary Schools in Anambra State
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The combination of parenting styles and peer pressure are both important aspects of human life, especially during adolescence. I appreciate this manuscript because its findings can lead to various changes in society. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please concise the findings part of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The language used is very clear and appropriate for understanding the study. Most points are described clearly, based on my knowledge, and the tables are explained effectively.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add the references between the sentences of the study.	

Review Form 3

<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is suitable. Please make the line gap of 1.5 in abstract.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Zaid
Department, University & Country	Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, India