

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_124257
Title of the Manuscript:	A Critical Discourse Analysis of Gender Representation in a Cameroonian ESL Textbook
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I can categorically state here that no scientific research is 100% accurate and devoid of errors, since it addresses the issue of human, of which gender representation is part. We are in a century where gender equality is strongly advocated for, as such, issues raised in this manuscript is apt for the scientific community and the public at large. It is quite commendable.  <b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should also include "contribution to knowledge and recommendation".	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I suggest that the "Literatures reviewed" should be separated from the Introduction section and be titled: "Empirical review". The subsections of the manuscript structure should be: Abstract, Introduction, Conceptual Review, Empirical Review (and should state the overall gap of the literatures revied), Theoretical framework, Methodology, Data Analysis and discussion, conclusion, Recommendation and Reference.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct in the sense that linguistic is a scientific study of language and language has been, to some extent, explored in this manuscript in order to ascertain the gender representation in textbooks use as school materials for learning. This research work will help cushion the effect of gender inequality globally.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	If the referencing is MLA, then it's okay. but Journals and/or Publishers should be in italics. Though I am not sure of your acceptable rules of referencing.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If the minor corrections are effected, then the paper is apt for publication.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adi, Shimoudi Jonathan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Wukari, Nigeria</b>