

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_124257
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Critical Discourse Analysis of Gender Representation in a Cameroonian ESL Textbook</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript makes a significant contribution to the scientific community by addressing the critical issue of gender representation in educational materials, specifically English language textbooks in Cameroon. I appreciate the manuscript's rigorous methodology and the clear presentation of findings, which underscore the need for more equitable representation in educational resources. The manuscript is an important addition to the discourse on gender studies in education, emphasizing the necessity of addressing these disparities in curriculum development.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>It is suitable</p> <p><b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>To improve the abstract's comprehensiveness, consider the following suggestions: Clearly outline the main aim of the study, emphasizing the analysis of gender representation in Cameroonian English language textbooks. Mention the amplified significance of the findings for educational practices and societal gender representation. Specify the aspects analyzed—pictorial and lexical representations, occupational roles, and firstness. Keep the abstract concise, typically within 150-250 words, while including these essential elements.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript's structure and subsections are well-organized and appropriate for its focus on gender representation in English language textbooks. It follows a logical sequence, starting with the methodology and then moving to findings and discussions, which aids in guiding the reader through the research process. The use of subsections, such as gender visibility, occupational roles, and firstness, allows for a detailed exploration of various dimensions of gender representation.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its clear methodology, which includes a well-defined approach to data collection and analysis. The use of both quantitative and qualitative methods to assess gender representation provides a robust framework for interpreting the findings. The statistical analyses are presented clearly, with appropriate tables and figures that enhance the understanding of the data. Furthermore, the manuscript contextualizes its findings within wide ranging societal norms, linking the representations in the textbook to the ideological mind-set prevalent in Cameroonian society, thereby reinforcing its technical soundness and relevance to the field.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>⋮</p>		

### Review Form 3

<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript is clearly written, with a logical flow of ideas and well-structured arguments. However, there are a few minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasings that could benefit from revision for clarity and conciseness. Ensuring consistent terminology and enhancing the precision of certain expressions would improve the overall readability and scholarly quality. A thorough proofreading session would help in refining the language further and ensuring adherence to academic standards.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I recommend expanding the discussion to include potential implications of the observed gender biases for learners and educators, as well as suggestions for future research. This could enrich the manuscript's contribution to the field. Additionally, incorporating a brief review of existing literature on gender representation in educational materials could provide more context for the study and strengthen its foundation.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yaweh Filibus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Wukari, Nigeria</b>