

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_124257
Title of the Manuscript:	A Critical Discourse Analysis of Gender Representation in a Cameroonian ESL Textbook
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript brings to the fore important, ground-breaking ideas that are of great value for the scientific community. The manuscript uses a number of up-to-date concepts and an ideological framework that is appropriate insofar as the theme is concerned. I have thoroughly enjoyed reading it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, I believe it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that the author has correctly used the indicated sources. The argumentation is dense and the ideas develop organically. It is only the concluding remarks that need restructuring and expanding to a certain extent.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I believe it is.	
Optional/General comments	Conclusion needs restructuring and expanding: it is too brief and abrupt.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Catalin Ghita
Department, University & Country	University of Craiova, Romania

Review Form 3

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

	Author's Feedback

Reviewer Details:

This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly.
Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.

Name of the Reviewer	Catalin Ghita
Department of Reviewer	Department of Romanian Language and Literature
University or Institution of Reviewer	University of Craiova
Country of Reviewer	Romania, EU
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Professor, PhD
Email ID of Reviewer	catalinghita@yahoo.com catalinghita@yahpp.com
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer (Optional)	0040-728428954
5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	<u>Comparative literature, Cultural studies, Aesthetics, Poetics, Post-Colonial Studies</u>