

### ReviewForm3

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofResearchinSurgery</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRS_124752
TitleoftheManuscript:	Frontalobstetricalheadtraumainanewborn:Clinicalcasereportandliteraturereview
TypeoftheArticle	Casereport

#### PART1: ReviewComments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a rare but significant issue in neonatal care—frontal obstetrical head trauma in a newborn—which is crucial for understanding complications arising during delivery. It contributes to the medical field by discussing both the clinical presentation and management of such cases, while also integrating a thorough review of the literature. This case report is valuable as it expands knowledge on early recognition and intervention, which can prevent severe outcomes. However, clearer explanation of the diagnosis process and management steps could enhance its clinical relevance.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The current title is suitable, as it clearly describes the subject matter. However, a more concise version could be: "Obstetric Frontal Head Trauma in a Newborn: Case Report and Literature Review". This version maintains clarity while reducing redundancy.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract provides the essential information but could be more concise. There is some repetition that could be avoided, such as stating both "frontal head trauma" and "obstetrical trauma" in similar contexts. It would also be beneficial to add a sentence summarizing the long-term prognosis and any follow-up care, if applicable. Additionally, the explanation of the clinical importance could be slightly expanded to make the relevance of the case more immediately clear to the reader.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections are appropriate, but the Introduction could be more focused on framing the clinical relevance of this specific trauma type. The Case Report section is well-structured, though more details regarding the patient's immediate management after birth and any diagnostic imaging results would strengthen the</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically correct, but it would benefit from more detailed explanations regarding the choice of treatment and follow-up procedures. While the clinical presentation and initial management are described, the rationale behind certain decisions (e.g., timing and type of imaging, specific medical interventions) should be expanded to provide a stronger scientific foundation. The literature review is thorough, though integrating more recent studies could improve the context provided for understanding the case. Overall, the case is presented</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are generally comprehensive, but several key studies published within the last two years on neonatal head trauma are missing. These could provide a more up-to-date perspective on treatment protocols and outcomes. Suggested references:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Parri, Niccolò, et al. "Management of neonatal head injuries: A retrospective cohort study." <i>Acta Paediatrica</i> (2024).</li> <li>Razak, Abdul, et al. "Intervention to reduce severe brain injury risk in preterm neonates: a systematic review and meta-analysis." <i>JAMA network open</i> 6.4 (2023): e237473-e237473.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English language quality needs improvement. There are several areas where sentence structure and grammar could be refined for clarity. For instance, in the <b>Abstract</b>, the phrase "which was clinically determined" could be revised to "diagnosed based on clinical evaluation." Additionally, the use of passive voice in several sections diminishes the impact of the writing; active voice would provide more clarity and directness. Specific corrections include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Introduction:</b> Sentence "There is limited literature on cases of this kind..." could be streamlined to "Literature on such cases is limited, making this report significant."</li> </ul>	

**ReviewForm3**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is an important contribution to the field of neonatology, highlighting a specific type of trauma that may be overlooked. Once the clarity of language is improved and more detailed explanations are provided regarding the clinical decision-making, this case report will offer valuable insights for both clinicians and researchers working with neonatal care.	
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Victor Cesar de Souza</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pontifícia Universidade Católica, Brazil</b>