

**Review Form 1.8**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRS_120040</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sacral chordoma: A rare tumour of the buttocks</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	<b>The manuscript is important for scientific community. It connotes a significant level of openness to empirical testing in the scientific community.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Yes</b> , but deficient of basic punctuation marks like the full-stop (.) and commas (,)	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b> . Again, it is also deficient of basic punctuation marks like the full-stop (.) and commas (,) "Case presentation" is a subheading therefore the colon (:) should be removed.	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	<b>Is correct to a greater extend. Further studies should be be able look into other diagnostic indices that could be impacted as a result of sacral chordoma.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	<b>The references are neither sufficient nor recent. Seven (7) of the references out of the ten (10) listed are over 10 years old.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Satisfactory	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.8](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Barinaaziga Mbeera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom</b>