

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_124498
Title of the Manuscript:	Roasted pigeon pea meal replacement to soybean meal and its effect on external and internal egg quality parameters of Bovans Brown layers
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pigeon meal can improve their growth and yield, and reduce feeding costs. So the incorporation of it in livestock health is on recent demand. The nutritional values and their effect on livestock yield can be a good topic. More details on pigeon meal as a replacement of soybean meal should be given.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No Full forms should be mentioned. The dietary percentages should be highlighted. Location map details are not needed in abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No Manuscript should have more recent researches	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	no Need to recheck for English quality.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lopamudra Samantaray</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centurion University of Technology and Management, India</b>