

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFRN_124287 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | KNOWLEDGE ASSESSMENT OF DIETARY SALT AND HEALTH AMONG YOUNG ADULTS IN LUCKNOW CITY |
| Type of the Article | Original article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Salt is very essential component in human life. Though its essentially known for causing various diseases and health related problems, it cannot be avoided in everyday life. Thus, knowledge about this is very essential and required for the individuals to understand the essentials of salt. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, it is suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is well-written, but the background for the essential of salt is not proper and not supporting the study. Kindly, change it. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study is technically sound, but only with the quantitative component is not very robust to understand the knowledge level of the salt among the young adults. It is better to provide some qualitative component to understand it. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes, it is recent but not sufficient to support the entire manuscript. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The English quality of the article is better | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | The discussion was not sufficient. The author explained about the result section only, yet not compared with other articles to support the evidence. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Jenifer Florence Mary J |
| Department, University & Country | Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, India |