

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_124309
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorative Effects of Ascorbic Acid on Bridelia micrantha Induced Haematological, Histological and Carcass Characteristics Alterations in African Catfish <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>, Burchell (1822) Juvenile.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is about effect of <i>Bridelia mircantha</i> on fish health, which is an important study as overall effects on fish health may also cause serious concern on human health too as consuming contaminated fish may transfer toxic compounds to human body. The study also concerned regarding the improvement of fish health by using ascorbic acid, which will be again beneficial for scientific community. So a comprehensive research and monitoring on this topic is very necessary.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Ascorbic Acid mediated Amelioration of <i>Bridelia micrantha</i> Induced Alterations in Hematological, Histological and Carcass Characteristics Of African Catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822)</p> <p>It is suggested to use this title instead, for better clarity of the subject.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>In the abstract only results was given. The abstract should end with a brief conclusion regarding the significance of the study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. However, subsections could be numbered. 2. Use different font size for main heading and for subheadings 3. If you are using bold for table heading, use for all 4. Use subsection in the results of histological alterations 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, I found the experimental design part is little bit unclear. It is suggested to clearly write the grouping of the fish and how treated groups were divided..</p> <p>The technical soundness is okay except in the discussion and conclusion part.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The discussion should expalin your findings with others work clearly amd try to write in a flow. 2. Use fish picture and charateristics. 3. Use sample plant picture. 4. The conclusion part should explain the impact of the study on huamn health or society. Rewrite it. 5. Referece style is different. Please use same style for all the references. 6. Use same citation style for all. 7. Write the introduction in a flow. 8. Use proper reference for each methodology that has been used from others. 9. Arrange the reference alphabtically. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Sufficient, Howerver more recent references should be used mainly in the discussion part.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of the language is good, suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najma Khatun
Department, University & Country	Cotton University, India