

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_124592
Title of the Manuscript:	High Grade Atrioventricular Block Reveals Rare Transthyretin Cardiac Amyloidosis: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a case report about cardiac complications of amyloidosis and conduction system disturbances. The author has described it as an unusual case, although it is a very familiar scenario in the context of amyloidosis, and no unusual findings exist.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title describes the report correctly.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is written comprehensively, but there are some scientific issues. It mentions "high-grade AV block" when it is actually a case of "complete AV block." It is important to note that not every "high-grade AV block" is necessarily a "complete AV block." additionally, the writer describes the conduction system impairment as "desynchronization between atrial and ventricular contractions," which is an incorrect definition. Desynchronization is not a scientifically accurate description of AV block.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, there is no problem	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The ECG shows a complete AV block (CHB), but nowhere in the article can you find it. Everywhere it is called high-grade AV block. CHB is just a subset of high-grade. The term "Lipothymia" is used for syncope. It is not wrong, but it is less scientific as in cardiology references you can't find this abandoned word.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	there is some punctuation error: <<prophylactic>>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Yaminisharif
Department, University & Country	Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Iran