

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ACRI_124872  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ASSESSMENT OF CONSTRAINTS TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL HEALTH REGULATION AT THE POINT OF ENTRY IN LAGOS NIGERIA |
| Type of the Article      |   |

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | <p>The study recommends that addressing of constraints through targeted interventions including enhanced training programs, better resource allocation, and stronger interagency coordination, is essential for strengthening health security at points of entry in Lagos.</p> <p>- The study emphasizes the critical role of sustained investment in infrastructure, training and interagency collaboration to achieve the full potential of IHR in protecting publ health.</p> |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | YES  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | YES  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is scientifically sound because it adds the body of knowledge and literature in the field of community health</li> <li>- The data collection process and procedures, analysis and interpretation is scientifically.</li> </ul>   |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | YES  |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.<br>:  |  |   |

### Review Form 3

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments   |  |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? |  |  |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments  |  |  |

### **PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                    |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Abdalah Shaibu Amiri               |
| Department, University & Country | University of the People, Tanzania |