

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124516
Title of the Manuscript:	University Peer Mentoring Program: Perceptions and Expectations of Aspirants at BUAP, Mexico
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good and scientific for the community. It is important in order to address the level students' dropout in the higher institutions across the world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable. The only aspect the researcher should take note of is the acronym BUAP which is not allowed in the title of any article or write-up.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good except for the omission of recommendations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript will be robust and technically sound if all the areas pointed out are taken into consideration.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the references are recent once.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a need to subject the whole work to grammar checking.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I will urge the researcher(s) to go through all the observation areas and ensure that the needful is done. This will make the work to be robust and contribute to learning.  <b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nasrudeen Ayinde Malik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Osun State University, Nigeria</b>