

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124891
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Instability Analysis of Rice in Chhattisgarh: A District Level Study
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article discusses the growth and instability of rice cultivation in Chhattisgarh. However, I found it not much appropriate because the parameters used in the study are not very promising and comprehensive. Additionally, the instability and challenges have not been explained in detail, making the article lack depth in addressing the key issues.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is less suitable and unscientific. The author can use title like "Assessing the Growth and instability of Rice production in Chhattisgarh (District-wise) or Understanding the Growth and instability in Chhattisgarh's Rice sector.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, it is not up to the mark. The author needs to do some literature review.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article seems entirely secondary data based, with no significant primary survey has been conducted by the author to know the challenges and the reasons. Very few citations have been used. The introduction is quite brief and lacks any citations method. The methodology is also quite poor. A map of the study area could have been included. The author should explain about the reasons of instability and also, recommend some suggestions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author needs to do some literature review.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		
	Single reference style should be used by the author. Map can be inserted and result and discussion part can be more comprehensive and reasonable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shruti Gupta
Department, University & Country	University of Jammu, India