

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_125169
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate Composition of Banana (Musa Paradisiaca) Peel Flour of Odisha, India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article definitely has importance in the society as it emphasizes on the value of utilisable resources that are considered as waste. This article has sound scientific background and good language. This research can be extended towards various other fruits and vegetables making it a highly required study in current time. The paper emphasizes the need for sustainable studies to utilize resources but the paper has minor flaws in text referencing and pictures of samples and assays can add more value to it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title could be altered in accordance to the conclusion reached through the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive and doesn't need rework	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate but there is room for improvement in overall alignment of the paper and can work on presentability.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is definitely technically sound but in text references are required. The paper definitely has the quality and content. Paper overall has good connectivity and is not lacking in any aspect. If the paper is free of plagiarism issues the paper is good to be published after minor reworking.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Should work on in text referencing. The 13 th reference needs reworking. Overall check the final referencing format before publishing.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable for publishing, minor errors could be spotted but they do not affect the overall quality of the paper in any way.	
Optional/General comments	Work on paper alignment. Add pictures of samples and assays. Work on referencing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashwin Prabahaar
Department, University & Country	Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Brandenburg University of Technology, India