

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_116263</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MODELLING TIME TO RECOVERY FROM MULTIDRUG RESISTANT TUBERCULOSIS IN SOUTHERN ETHIOPIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This manuscript addresses an important public health issue, employs vigorous research methods, presents significant findings, and offers actionable recommendations, making it valuable to the scientific community working in TB control and epidemiology.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It might be helpful to include more specific numerical results or statistical measures to provide a clearer picture of the results/findings</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The manuscript appears scientifically sound, covering important aspects of MDR-TB epidemiology and treatment outcomes in Southern Ethiopia. However, it's essential to ensure that the data collection methods, statistical analyses, and interpretations are robust and free from biases. Additionally, considering the evolving nature of tuberculosis research and public health strategies, it's crucial to align the findings and recommendations with the latest evidence-based guidelines and practices.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Specificity in Recommendations:</b> The recommendations provided are broad and general. It would be beneficial to offer more specific and actionable recommendations based on the study findings. For example, suggesting targeted interventions for high-risk populations or areas where improvement in treatment protocols is needed.</p> <p><b>Policy Implications:</b> Discussing the potential policy implications of the study findings could strengthen the recommendations. How could the results inform policy decisions or resource allocation for MDR-TB prevention and treatment programs?</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jane Acquaye
Department, University & Country	Ghana Hub & University for Development Studies, Ghana