

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_116263
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING TIME TO RECOVERY FROM MULTIDRUG RESISTANT TUBERCULOSIS IN SOUTHERN ETHIOPIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>My suggestions are given as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check the manuscript carefully for typos and grammar errors. 2. The abstract should be concise and more informative. 3. Complete the introduction with the inclusion of the latest published works in the literature regarding this area of study. There are a few studies such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. 10.3934/math.2022630 ii. 10.3934/math.2022965 iii. 10.1016/j.chaos.2021.111429 iv. 10.26480/msmk.02.2020.37.43 v. 10.1016/j.rinam.2020.100118 4. I suggest you provide more details and comparisons in the introduction for how this paper is distinguished from other works in the literature. 5. The authors should give more explanations about the variables, parameters and specially numerical graphs. 6. At the end of all the equations, the commas or dots are missing as per typing rule, so check and correct. 7. The discussion part should be included in the paper in which figures need to be more explained so that they can point out the findings of the paper. <p>The results are correct. I recommend it for publication after the above-suggested revisions.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English should be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Attallah
Department, University & Country	University of Chitral, Pakistan