Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_116761**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MOMORDICA CHARANTIA AND PSIDIUM GUAJAVA ON ANTI-DIABETIC ACTIVITY**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes** **The title is not suitable.** **Ok** **Ok** **No.** **More than 50% of the references are older than 5 years.** **The materials and Method section is not discussed properly. Please write the way you have conducted the experiment. Don't just write it from the manufacturer's guide.** **No statistical comparison was done.** **Results and Discussion: Only the results were presented, no discussion is available.**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Needs sentence reconstruction.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Jesmine Khan**
Department, University & Country	**Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia**