

### Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_115499
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Efficacy and Biological Benefits of Withanolide-Rich Ashwagandha Root Extract
Type of the Article	Original Research

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Include scientific name Assessing the Efficacy and Biological Benefits of Withanolide-Rich <i>Withania somnifera</i> ("Ashwagandha") Root Extract</p> <p>Improve results part in the abstract. Include numerical values for each result along with positive control results</p> <p>Include some sub heading to the abstract e.g Under the methodology, included subsections as follows. -Sample collection and authentication -Sample preparation</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to include more references. All marked on your manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>OK</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There are grammar and spelling mistakes and all are marked on manuscript Don't use general name. Please use scientific name throughout the manuscript. Put full name as <i>Withania somnifera</i>, when you beginning from plant name. In all other places you can put it as <i>W. somnifera</i></p>	

Commented [MOU1]: Use scientific name

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>W. M. Kalpani Madhushika Ratnayake</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CINEC Campus, Sri Lanka</b>