

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_115499
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Efficacy and Biological Benefits of Withanolide-Rich Ashwagandha Root Extract
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grammatical errors observed all over the article.</li> <li>The article statements should be in past tense</li> <li>Et al should be in italics.</li> <li>Referencing is missing for the statements provided in the introduction section and in many statements.</li> <li>Material and method information need to be added, no chemical information, geographical information and solvent, column and chemical grade information's are missing.</li> <li>Chromatographical information's are missing.</li> <li>Extraction method information in is missing.</li> <li>Cell concentration, incubation period is missing.</li> <li>Control for the test sample is missing.</li> <li>Many key information of the analytical methods is need to be presented in a research article.</li> <li>Figure 3 did not show the significant statical difference.</li> <li>Referencing section should be re-written; page number and volume are missing, not in complete format. Example: reference 9</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gunasekar Manoharan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>New Jersey Bio Science Centre, USA</b>