

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_113576</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Microbial Perspectives on Polythene Biodegradation: Exploring the Role of Microorganisms in Addressing Plastic Pollution</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Works of the provided manuscript is a fruitful article for guiding readers about enzymatic plastic degradation.</li> <li>2. The title is good and relevant to the work.</li> <li>3. The abstract is also grammatically correct, suggesting specific future perspectives from both environmental and economic points of view. [Suggested to prepare won graphical abstract.]</li> <li>4. It is good and the flow of writing is also organized.</li> <li>5. Not a major contradiction.</li> <li>6. Suggested to add more relevant references. Also lack of recent references. There is almost no recent reference from the last three years.</li> <li>7. Surface Erosion should be writing a bit more detail, suggested to mention others works in this field, analyze the mechanism, process, etc.</li> <li>8. How do microbial films act to degradation? What is the effective condition? Appropriate references should be added.</li> <li>9. Metabolic pathway demands a little explanation. Need to mention specific metabolic pathways?</li> <li>10. Synergistic interaction also demands little introduction. Interaction among what? It's not clear to others. Need to extract recent works and mention them.</li> <li>11. In Polythene-Degrading Microorganisms, what is the ideal condition of culture? Which factors affect on effectiveness of microorganisms?</li> <li>12. Exploration of Microbial Communities, need to mention relevant research works, specific enzymes, their efficiency, and working mechanism and conditions</li> <li>13. The characterization of Enzymes is unclear, suggested adding more relevant data.</li> <li>14. Factors Influencing Microbial Diversity and Plastic Degradation. Readers are clueless here. It's crucial to mention details about temperature, pH, and humidity.</li> <li>15. Temperature, pH, according to conditions temperature changed Need to mention specific data. Need to mention the pH range for each class of enzymes. No specific range was mentioned for moisture.</li> <li>16. No specific range was mentioned about oxygen availability.</li> <li>17. Microbial Consortia Development, Demands more elaboration and recent trends, economic and environmental impacts.</li> <li>18. Combining microorganisms with what? What is the condition? How did their performance change with the combination?</li> <li>19. Need to write something about Enzyme and protein engineering. How specifically do they affect and compare the performance before and after modification?</li> <li>20. Genetic Engineering of Microorganisms: On which type of enzyme? What is the recent</li> </ol>	

## Review Form 1.7

	<p>work on it? Is it economically viable?</p> <p>21.. What type of changes occurred or Are there any optimum requirements in the ratio of C:N:P?</p> <p>22. Suggest adding more figures, tables, and comparisons.</p> <p>23. <i>What could be the significant drawbacks? Does this process need to collaborate with others or have any further impact on the existing ecosystem?</i></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The grammar portion is considerable. Writing language as a research article there is space for further work.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Required Major revision.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najmul Hossain
Department, University & Country	School of Light Industry and Chemical Engineering, Dalian Polytechnic University, Bangladesh