

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115704
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of chemical weed management on grain yield, yield attributes and weed dry matter of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) through different pre and post emergence herbicides.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community. 2- The title of the article is not suitable. The alternative title is: Effect of herbicides treatments on weeds, grain yield and its attributes in rice (Oryza sativa) 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The 24 references which had been mentioned in the references list are sufficient but 23 of them had not mentioned in the text. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Optional/General comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the text any et al and the Latin names must be written italic. 2- The abbreviations must be written completely when mentioned at the first time. 3- The first author (Rao et al. 2017) which had been mentioned in the introduction must be written Rao et al., 2017. 4- Various pest which had been mentioned in the 6th line of the introduction must be written various pests. 5- The type of the sprayer and its flow rate must be written in materials and methods. 6- The objectives of this research must be written in the abstract and in the end of the introduction. 7- Any management had mentioned in the text must be changed to control. 8- Some references must be written in the end of the introduction. 9- The first reference in the references list must be written rightly as the others ones. 10- Any treatment or herbicide treatment which had mentioned in the text and the titles of the Tables (except Table 5) must be changed to treatments or herbicides treatments. 11- Any (contro led), (dry weight of total weed), and any (finding are), which had mentioned in the text must be changed to controlled, (dry weight of total weeds) and (findings are), respectively. 12- The phrase (different management treatment) and the words (conformatory and decreased) which had mentioned in the 7th and 9th lines under (Grain yield) must be written (different control treatments), confirmatory and decreased respectively. 13- The equations of harvest index (%), weed index (%) and weed control efficiency (%) must be written in materials and methods. 14- Numbering of outlines is wrong. 15- All references which have been mentioned in the text must be appeared in the references list and vice versa. 24 references had been mentioned in the references list but 23 of them had not mentioned in the text. 6 references had been mentioned in the text but 5 of them 	

Review Form 1.7

	had not been written in the references list.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukhtar Abdel Aziz Mohamed Osman
Department, University & Country	University of Dongola, Sudan