

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_115596
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of the production and risks of consumption of artisanal refreshing drinks (Bissap, Gnamankoudji) in Haut Sassandra (Daloa department)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Diagnosis of the production and risks of consumption of artisanal refreshing drinks (Bissap, Gnamankoudji) in Haut Sassandra (Daloa department)	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The article suggests that local made food items should be watched and guided for hygeinig preparation to reach consumers to prevent disease outbreaks in the community. There could be SOPs released for all manufacturers for hygienic production of the Bissap, Gnamankoudji.</p> <p>It would have been better to have the title like – Identification of risks after consumption of artisanal refreshing drinks (Bissap, Gnamankoudji) produced at Haut Sassandra (Daloa Department)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. But the graphical representations are not clear, the data are not clear.</p> <p>References are sufficient. Recent articles could have been added.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper may be considered for publication after correction of all data and graphical presentation which is in native language.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishnaveni N
Department, University & Country	PSG College of Arts & Science, India