

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_116587
Title of the Manuscript:	An investigation on the Effects of Napoleonaimperialis Methanol seed extract on Haematological parameters and Cardiac function enzymes in Albino Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is important because these mice can be used in the future to study Napoleonaimperialis methanol seed extract's effect on hematological parameters in humans and potentially bring pharmacological benefits.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, it did a comprehensive view of the results.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, as it establishes a connection between the poison and its effects on the electron transport chain (ETC), which is the mechanism of toxicity and Lethal Dose (LD), which will bring this effect.</p> <p>Some of the references date back to the 1980s, and no web links have been attached. Use the references from a maximum 10-year time only.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are grammatical errors which need to be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Can you explicitly mention why ocular vein was chosen for sampling? And the technique used?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Poombal
Department, University & Country	Nishtar Medical University, Pakistan