

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_116618</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF THE OLIGOSACCHARID FRACTION OF FUSARIUM OXYSPORUM f. sp. VASINFECTUM ON THE ACTIVITY OF PHENOLIC METABOLISM ENZYMES IN COTTON LEAVES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is useful as cotton is a major fibre crop in most of the countries and there is a need for regulation of the defense mechanisms against the different pathogens which is an alternative method to regulate pesticides</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title is good and scientific</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract is comprehensive but slightly modify the sentences grammatically and better to write key words alphabetically</b></p> <p>4. <b>The subsections and structure are correct but maintain same format all over the content</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, it is scientifically correct</b></p> <p>6. <b>References are sufficient and better to use hanging indent for the references</b></p> <p>7. <b>Better to make all the et al. in italics and give spacing between number and per cent symbol (eg: 10 %)</b></p> <p>8. <b>Better to mention formulas of all the chemicals in bracket when they used 1<sup>st</sup> time in the manuscript</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but do proper sentence formation</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ampolu Santosh Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Postgraduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, India</b>