

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_116952
Title of the Manuscript:	LUPUS JOURNEY: THE CHALLENGE OF OBSTRUCTIVE HYDROCEPHALUS
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
--	---------------------------	---

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific and medical communities, particularly for those involved in rheumatology, neurology, and autoimmune research. It provides a detailed account of a rare clinical presentation of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) — obstructive hydrocephalus. Given the rarity of this complication in SLE patients, the case report contributes valuable insights into the neuropsychiatric manifestations of SLE, a complex and multi-faceted autoimmune disorder.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Lupus Journey: The Challenge of Obstructive Hydrocephalus," is both engaging and descriptive, which makes it quite suitable for the subject matter it addresses.</p> <p>The abstract of the article "Lupus Journey: The Challenge of Obstructive Hydrocephalus" provides a concise overview of the case report, which is key for an effective abstract. The abstract sets the stage by mentioning the clinical background of the patient, the diagnostic process, and the linkage of hydrocephalus to SLE, which are crucial for understanding the case's significance. It could be improved by including some brief details about the patient's response to treatment. This would help readers quickly find the relevance and significance of the case report to their work or interests.</p> <p>The manuscript structure and subsections are appropriately designed for the content it aims to cover. Each section serves a clear purpose and contributes to a comprehensive understanding of this rare clinical scenario in SLE patients. The manuscript would benefit from a clear conclusion or summary section to encapsulate key findings and takeaways, enhancing the reader's ability to grasp the final conclusions and potential clinical or research implications of the case study. Based on the provided information, the manuscript appears scientifically correct within the scope of presenting a rare clinical case. It follows appropriate medical and scientific guidelines in diagnosing and managing a rare complication of SLE.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, the language quality of the manuscript appears suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript "Lupus Journey: The Challenge of Obstructive Hydrocephalus" effectively details a rare and clinically significant case of hydrocephalus associated with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, providing valuable insights into its neuropsychiatric manifestations and management. Its thorough exploration of this complex condition, coupled with clear and precise language, makes it a strong candidate for acceptance in a scholarly journal focused on rheumatology, neurology, or autoimmune diseases.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Stiliana Mihaylova</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria</p>