

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_116650
Title of the Manuscript:	Solar Energy's Role in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals in Agriculture
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for Solar Energy's Role in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals in Agriculture 2. The recommended of title for the manuscript is Solar Energy's Role in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in Agriculture & Renewable Energy 3. The abstract was not comprehensive and the comments are stated in red colour of texts. 4. The subsections and structure of abstract must be clearly. The author's need to briefly describe the research objectives, methodology, research contributions and recommendations of the study. 5. The author's need to give more elaborations on the respective figures and diagram of study 6. The author's need to clearly on the research methodology method. More justify and elaborate on it. 7. The research findings and conclusion of study must be aligned with the research objectives and the real examples. 8. There are some errors on the writing of the references. Use the APA format. <p>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The author's need to focus and comprehensive of explanations and justification of the figures. The language/English quality is suitable but need minor improvements.</p> <p>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are some errors on the technique of writing especially the figures and references. Please rechecked the references that not stated in the manuscript.</p> <p>All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	HJ. Mustafa Bin Dakian
Department, University & Country	Graduate School of Business, Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Malaysia