

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_116467</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of Varieties and Nutrient Treatments on Physiological and Phenological Parameters of Summer Greengram (Vigna radiata L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is. But it is better if some amendment is made on almost all parts of the paper. To specify, the abstract, introduction, Methodology and result and discussion part of the paper needs major modification and is mandatory to amend based on the comments indicated on the main manuscript on each part to fit the standard of the journal and the demand of readers.</p> <p>2. The title is not suitable please look the following as an option Response of summer green gram (Vigna radiata L.) to Varieties and nutrient treatments at.... your site and country Or Effect of Varieties and Nutrients treatments on physiological and phonological parameters at.... your site and country</p> <p>3. No. it is not comprehensive. it has to be improved in a way that includes the statement of the problem, objectives and conclusion. Because the abstract should stand alone and transfer all the necessary information of the manuscript if someone wants to understand the overall content of the paper by reading the abstract.</p> <p>4. They are not appropriate. Please correct based on the suggestions indicated on the main manuscript</p> <p>5. if modifications are made based on the comments and suggestions indicated on the manuscript Yes it will be correct</p> <p>6. They are not sufficient and not recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Endalkachew Baye</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Salale University, Ethiopia</b>