

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_116458
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Characterization and Grindability Behaviour of Farin-Lamba Cassiterite Toward Effective Tin Oxide Production
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript named "Investigating the Characterization and Grindability Behaviour of Farin-Lamba Cassiterite Toward Effective Tin Oxide Production" be preferred as a significant contribution for scientific community.</p> <p>The title is concise and related to the content of the work</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough and briefly summarises all the contents of the article.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate enough and well organized.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct as per my knowledge is concerned.</p> <p>The references are related to this study and recent ones. Authors can go through some more references to describe the characterization studies more in detail.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please use continuous line numbering in writing of any manuscript. 2. Introduction part, 1st stanza, 6th line, subscript issue should be maintained to write SnO₂. 3. Please cite all the equations used throughout the manuscript. 4. Please mention the name of the maker of XRD, SEM-EDS and Petrological Analyzer. 5. Equation 3 cannot be found anywhere within the manuscript. 6. From equation 4, all the equation numbers should be within bracket. 7. Equation 7, please use "x" instead of "*" symbol to signify multiplication. 8. Section 3.1.7, the first letter of the heading should be capital. 9. Discussion on sieve analysis should be more elaborative. 10. Some more numerical results can be added in the conclusion part. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the manuscript can be improved a bit.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Authors have done some commendable work and presented the whole study in a very well manner,</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bibek Saha
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology, India