

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMCS_115758 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Construction of Twenty-five Points Second Order Rotatable Design in Three Dimensions using Trigonometric functions. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes. The manuscript is important for scientific community because readers will be attracted to this topic to do further research in this area.</p> <p>Yes. The title is suitable for the content.</p> <p>Yes. Abstract is well-written and it is sufficient.</p> <p>The sections can be modified. Section1-Abstract, section2- Introduction, section3- Preliminaries , section 4- Methods and Materials , section 5 – Main Results and Discussions, section 6- Conclusion, section 7- References. Use subsections as appropriate.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes .The references are sufficient . The author should cite all the references within the content wherever necessary.</p> <p>Additional comments. Some corrections are needed. I have noted the corrections with another colour. The author should notice them and should correct it. Mathematics equations should be written in neat and in a logical order.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Yes. Language is suitable.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Can be accepted after minor revision.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Specify what is s ? Replace S by s & K by k. In T (r , 0 ,0) , the author written as o which is not zero, it is composition. Replace it by 0. Equation 19 is incomplete. Recheck equation 19 & equation 22. Begin every section with a small introduction that what would you included in that section. After equation 14, last sentence of the paragraph should be rewrite. In section 4.1 , to find the excess, there were some error in the calciulation. It should be corrected. In section 4.3.1, formula for D- efficiency, symbol should be changed as it is entered as ϵ , but in calculation it is expressed as ϵ^* . Use unique symbol. <p>The author should notice the errors and should correct them. After this correction & change in sections name, this article can be accepted for publication.</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Name: | Kumari Sreeja S Nair |
| Department, University & Country | Kerala University, India |