

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_115683
Title of the Manuscript:	INTEGER PROGRAMMING OPTIMIZATION-BASED SIMULATION IN ALLOCATING MEDICAL DOCTORS IN RURAL PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITIES IN KENYA
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is very important for the society if it is practiced.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable and applicable.</p> <p>3. The abstract includes objectives, method, result but it has no novelty and it needs language attractiveness.</p> <p>4. I think it is better to display methodology. Use subsection, 'the statement of problem' instead of 'problem statement'.</p> <p>5. Discussion and result part needs clear and precise description of the point of result but it is not satisfied.</p> <p>6. The researcher needs recent references to make manuscript current issue,</p> <p>[1] B Li, J Springer, G Bebis, MH Gunes - ... Network and Computer Applications, 2013 – Elsevier [3] K Wu · 2012 · Cited by 57 — "Tradeoff Curves, Targeting and Balancing in Manufacturing Queueing Networks," Operations Research, INFORMS, vol. 37(4), pages 547-564, August. [4] N Dreesch · 2005 · Cited by 281 — Methodological issues in health human resource planning: cataloguing assumptions and controlling for variables in needs-based modelling.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. It is better to revise the language as well as subject to verb agreement and punctuations. I have seen there.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-If I start from the title, then it is good but the problem formation of title needs some reform. -Objectives, method, result and novelty clearly displayed in the abstract. -Methodology needs after statement of problem. -Discussion and results should be clearly defined. - It is better to site recent references instead of older. -Acknowledgements (if any)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tadios Kiros
Department, University & Country	Arbaminch University, Ethiopia