

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_116966
Title of the Manuscript:	A comprehensive review on Genomic Approaches for Insect Pest Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>These genomic approaches provide more efficient and precise methods for managing insect pests, allowing for targeted control strategies and reducing the reliance on traditional breeding methods.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>In the Abstract, are briefly presented the results obtained by the author. It is not clear what the author's contribution is in this research.</p> <p>A scientific paper must include the following sections: Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions, Conclusions, References. In the work are missing sections: Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions.</p> <p>All the authors mentioned in the text must be found in the list of authors from the references and vice versa (in the text, the mention of numbers 15,55 84 from the list of references is missing. The list is very big.</p> <p>In the Materials and Methods includes the description of all the materials and methods applied to carry out the research, where and when the research was carried out. In Results and discussions - the results obtained after the research are presented with the data about the research carried out. The tables and figures must be placed in the text after the mention about it and followed by its description. In the Conclusions, the obtained results by the author following the research should be presented clearly and concisely.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parascovia Sava
Department, University & Country	Institutul Stiintifico-Practic de Horticulturasi Tehnologii Alimentare - Scientific and Practical Institute of Horticulture and Food Technologies, Moldova