

Review Form 1.7

Magazine name:	Journal of International Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript number:	Mrs_JEAI_116259
Manuscript title:	EVALUATION OF GENETIC DIVERSITY IN MUNGBEAN (Vigna radiata L.)
Item type	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review comments

	reviewer comment	Author's Comment (If you agree with the reviewer, please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory for authors to write their comments here)
<p>Mandatory REVIEW Comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences about this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article appropriate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the article summary complete?</p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript reveals:</p> <p>The key words must be worked on with the UNESCO THESAURI, which is a universal glossary that places the text within a specific spectrum of sciences.</p> <p>Agriculture - Cultivation - Mungbean...</p> <p>The problem is not clear; the product history is adequate; The references support the product, make known similar studies on the proposed topic; This provides viability for the development of this research.</p> <p>The research environment is relevant, the block experimental design is appropriate to obtain the expected results; the selected population is ideal for this study; The Toucher method provides optimal results for research.</p> <p>The results use a suitable Universal System; These are compared with similar studies, revealing new findings on flowering, maturity, hybridization, times... of the plant. This allows their contribution to be significant to this type of crop and benefit the people who consume this product. It would be important to determine future lines of research based on the results or contributions obtained.</p> <p>Since the objective of the research does not exist, its conclusions cannot be assessed.</p> <p>2. The topic is suitable for research</p> <p>3. It is important that the objective of the research be mentioned in this section; The methodology, results and conclusions are relevant.</p> <p>4. The structure is relevant to the type of study.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and appropriate.</p> <p>6. The references are largely up-to-date; Those of shorter duration allow us to see the process of the research carried out on this topic. In this type of study it is suggested that there be at least between 30-50 references. However, it depends on the requirements of the Revista.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVIEW Comments		
1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for academic communications?	Appropriate	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador