

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJNFS_116274</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutritional quality and Shelf-life study of finger millet-based cookies premix</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. Solutions with practical, taste, and nutritive local food are highly necessary.</p> <p>No. It would mention sensory analysis.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Sensory analysis should be in a separate section</p> <p>In general, yes</p> <p>It would be more current.</p> <p>In the Introduction the authors would better contextualize the data about hidden hunger, citing prevalences locally or globally.</p> <p>In methods, the quantity of the other ingredients (butter, sugar) used to prepare the cookies should be informed. More information about organoleptic evaluation should be provided (such as score graduation and what aspects of the parameters were observed).</p> <p>T3 should also be compared with the conventional cookie premix in sensorial terms. It would be interesting to present nutritional data on prepared cookies.</p> <p>The discussion should better analyze the product beyond comparing it with other works.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, It would be interesting to make a brief explanation of BIS specifications for readers outside India</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Evla Darc Ferro Vieira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Federal de Alagoas, Brazil</b>