

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_116627
Title of the Manuscript:	Introducing in Côte d'Ivoire a simple technique contributing to the post-harvest management of mangoes (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L. 1753) perishability and browning by targeting polyphenol oxidases (PPOs)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes the manuscript is important for the scientific community, as it is an important way for researchers to share their discoveries, knowledge and ideas with the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is well structured</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes the references are recent. I have suggestion of additional reference 1. Langeh, A., Bhat, A., Sood, M. & Bandral, J. D. Evaluation of physicochemical properties of pre-treated raw mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>) powder during storage. <i>Pharma Innov. J.</i> 11(10), 1107–1112 (2022).</p> <p>Although the manuscript is well structured and well written I have suggestion of adding the chemicals name used in the manuscript in the plant material and chemical section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the quality of the Language used is easy and suitable for scholarly communications as it explained the article very well which is easy to understand by all.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anjali Langeh
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, India