

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_116311
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity of Dematiaceous Freshwater Hyphomycetes from Dang Forest of Gujrat, India
Type of the Article	Research paper

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes-</b> It provides the scientific information about the Submerged aquatic hyphomycetes six species are being reported for the first time from the Dang forest.</p> <p><b>Yes-</b> But it also write as <b>Freshwater hyphomycetes diversity of dematiaceous from Gujarat's Dang forest (India)</b></p> <p><b>Yes-</b> The abstract is in limited word and shows the keywords.</p> <p><b>Yes-</b> It shows subsection and structure of manuscript which is appropriate.</p> <p><b>Yes-</b> But only adds future suggestion at the end for path to next research.</p> <p><b>Yes-</b> For the references used some recent research paper and references books related to subject.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English quality of article is suitable, but checks spelling and grammatical issues in some sentences.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>After some minor changes the research manuscript is good. The manuscript could be suitable for publication after the author has responded to reviewer comments and made changes should includes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add recent references in references list.</li> <li>• Check grammar and some words spelling</li> <li>• Add future suggestion</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Jige Sandipan Babasaheb</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sant Ramdas College, India</b>