

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_117048
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the digestibility of diets containing soy okara in <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822) fries raised in a controlled environment in Benin.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The topic presented in this article is current and important to the scientific community.</p> <p>The title "Evaluation of the digestibility of diets containing soy okara in <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (Burchell, 1822) fries raised in a controlled environment in Benin" is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of this article is comprehensive and relevant.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is adequate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. The content, methods, discussion, results, conclusions is in the field of reference. The topic addressed is very important for fish farming that is currently practiced in the world.</p> <p>The number of 24 bibliographic references are relevant for this article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language quality of this article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Radu Daniela</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fish Culture Research and Development Station, Romania</b>