

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_115759
Title of the Manuscript:	Angioleiomyoma of left proximal arm region- mimic as soft tissue sarcoma.
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> It adds valuable clinical insight into the differential diagnosis and management of peripheral extremity soft tissue tumors. Understanding the challenges in diagnosing and treating such cases is crucial, making this manuscript important for the scientific community. The title may not be the most suitable as it doesn't fully capture the essence of the case. A more descriptive title could be "Diagnostic Challenge: Angioleiomyoma Masquerading as Soft Tissue Sarcoma in the Proximal Arm." This revised title highlights the diagnostic dilemma presented in the case and provides clearer information about the location and nature of the tumor. While the abstract provides an overview of the case and its significance, it could be more comprehensive by including additional details such as the specific imaging findings and treatment approach employed. It should be reviewed also to formal academic English. The manuscript's structure follows a standard format for case reports. This structure is appropriate for effectively presenting the case, discussing relevant literature, and drawing conclusions. No revisions are needed in this regard. The manuscript appears scientifically sound, with the case presentation supported by relevant clinical findings, imaging studies, and histopathological examination results. The references provided appear relevant to the topic and sufficiently support the content of the manuscript. However, it would strengthen the paper to include more recent references. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English in the article is generally suitable for conveying medical information, but it could be made slightly more formal to meet academic standards.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Exemple of a interesting and recent reference: https://doi.org/10.1093/jscr/rjab535</p> <p>The article needs a review for English construction, particularly regarding verb tenses and academic style. Additionally, I disagree with the last sentence of the discussion, which states: "In our case, we have done wide local excision of the lesion and closed the wound in layers. So, angioleiomyoma can present over upper extremity and mimic as soft tissue sarcoma."</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pedro Xavier Fernandes
Department, University & Country	Hospital Espirito Santo Evora, Portugal