

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_115742</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES OF FOOD HANDLERS TOWARDS FOOD HYGIENE AND SANITATION</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Emperical</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>It is though, that is after all the modifications/corrections pointed out as been carried out.</p> <p>It is suitable</p> <p>The Abstract needs to be re-structured after re-writing the paper. It should start with purpose of the study followed by specific objective of the study. The keywords should be arranged alphabetically, while each of the first letters in each word must be in capital.</p> <p>No, the subsections and structure are not. It needs to have the following subsections included as shown below; after introduction,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Purpose of the Study (followed by specific objectives)</li> <li>➤ Methodology Here you will have; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Area of the Study</li> <li>•Population of the study</li> <li>•Sample and Sampling Techniques</li> <li>•Instrument for Data Collection</li> <li>•Data Collection Method</li> </ul> </li> <li>✓ Results</li> </ul> <p>With appropriate correction, it could be.</p> <p>References are not sufficient but recent. Review more literatures. Ensure that all the literature cited in the body of the paper are also in the refernce</p> <p>Paper cannot be published in its present form but can be revised based on the the modifications/corrections. It can then be resubmitted for second review.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Fair enough</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The result should be separated from discussion The result needs to be rewriting based on the specific objectives which should be introduced into the paper after introduction The caption on each table under the result should be each of the specific objectives Discussion should be writing based on the findings of each specific objectives. So, review and rewrite the discussion based on changes in the paper. Beef up the discussion. Add more literature review After discussion, write Acknowledgement followed by competing interest Refer to the authors guide on the correct format for referencing</p> <p>In all, go through the authors guide for guidance</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bello</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adeyemi Federal University of Education, Nigeria</b>