

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJACR_116589</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>How components of Dye-sensitized solar cells contribute to efficient solar energy capture</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Abstract is too long. Please follow the word limitations (100-200 words). The abstract must contain: backgrounds (if any, maximum 2-3 sentences), short clear objectives, short methods, final results or findings, and conclusion.</p> <p>2. Put your aim of the study in the end of the introduction section.</p> <p>3. Please add error bars at all the Figures on all the curves to show the variation.</p> <p>4. The results for all Tables and Figures required more explanations, discussions and comparison with other studies is required.</p> <p>5. Please indicate your results with other researchers that have the same behaviour in order to support your findings.</p> <p>6. Compare the results for a previous studies to make your work more acceptable.</p> <p>7. Conclusions should only answer the objectives of the research. Tells how your work advances the field from the present state of knowledge. Without clear Conclusions, reviewers and readers will find it difficult to judge the work. Provide a clear scientific justification for your work, and indicate possible applications and extensions.</p> <p>8. Please put a modern references in your manuscript.</p> <p>9. In general, the manuscript need more arrangement, please rearrangement your manuscript.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ouf Abdulrahman Shams</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Technical Institute of Anbar, Middle Technical University, Iraq</b>