

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116863
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Approach of Neural Network Models in Prediction of India's Rice Production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	yes rephrase the title. "A Comparative Analysis of Neural Network Architectures for Predicting Indian Rice Yield/Production" no, needs details of methodology. Results should include numbers. Literature Review is not required, combine it with Introduction. How many data records were used in the study. Author discussed about R ² , but not R ² values were not presented in the manuscript. Discussion of results is not required. No. Include references for discussion, ensuring they are relevant to the study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Change all acronyms and abbreviations (except proper nouns) to lowercase unless they are widely recognized in uppercase form (e.g., HTTP, DNA). So, "Artificial Neural Networks" becomes "artificial neural networks" or "ANN" (if commonly used in your field).	
Optional/General comments	Check grammar, sentence structure. Cite all the references in the text. Recommended to review some latest articles from standard journals and improvise the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramana Gosukonda
Department, University & Country	Fort Valley State University, USA