

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_115389
Title of the Manuscript:	COW BASED PRODUCTS FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE- A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and most of them are recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Optional/General comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the end of the introduction the objectives of the research must be written. 2. Materials and methods must be written and must give a full description of what you did and how you did it. 3. The Fig. 1 must be cited in the text. 4. The results and discussion must be written and the results must be evaluated and interpreted. Consistency and relationships of the results with previous researches and theories must be discussed. Practical impact and implications on future researches must be pinpointed. 5. All references which have been mentioned in the text must be appeared in the references list and vice versa. The reference Shaikh and Gachande 2013 which mentioned in references list must be written in the text. The authors: Beulah 2001; Kumar et al 2013; Sutar et al 2017 and Spinijari et al 2007 which mentioned in the text must be written in references list. The publication year of the author Screenivasa had been mentioned 2005 in the text and 2007 in references list. Also the publication year of the authors Yadav and Lourdraj had been mentioned 2005 in the text and 2006 in references list. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukhtar Abdel Aziz Mohamed Osman
Department, University & Country	University of Dongola, Sudan