

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_116826</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design of an All-in-One Drowning Prevention and Monitoring System</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, as a well designed Drowning Prevention and Monitoring System is presented which is the need of the hour.</p> <p>Title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>No, subsections and structure of the manuscript is not appropriate. Needs to add results and discussion section</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Very few References are quoted in the manuscript. Need to add few more references and that to recent ones.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is mandatory to include the photographic view of the Physical module which is missing in the article.</li> <li>2. There is no results and discussion section, where the results should be presented. Include screenshots of the results obtained.</li> <li>3. In the abstract replace the sentence "this paper designs an all-in-one drowning prevention and monitoring system with a modular design" with " this paper illustrates an all-in-one drowning prevention and monitoring system with a modular design"</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Md Moyeed Abrar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Khaja Bandanawaz University, India</b>